

How Scary is the Risk of Automation? Evidence from a Large Scale Survey Experiment

Maria Cattaneo¹ Christian Gschwendt² Stefan C. Wolter³

¹SKBF Aarau ²University of Bern ³University of Bern, SKBF, CESifo, IZA

Work and Social Justice Conference 2024 December 13, 2024

Gschwendt (Bern)

How Scarv is Automation Risk?

Zurich, December 2024



Motivation

- Pre-Generative AI digital transformation: (Katz & Murphy, 1992; Autor et al., 2003)
 - Substitution of low-skilled and routine workers
 - Complementarity with high-skilled and non-routine cognitive workers



Motivation

- Pre-Generative AI digital transformation: (Katz & Murphy, 1992; Autor et al., 2003)
 - Substitution of low-skilled and routine workers
 - Complementarity with high-skilled and non-routine cognitive workers
- Generative AI: Negative effects on high-skilled cognitive workers (e.g., Eloundou

et al., 2023; Felten et al., 2023; Hui et al., 2023)



Motivation

- Pre-Generative AI digital transformation: (Katz & Murphy, 1992; Autor et al., 2003)
 - Substitution of low-skilled and routine workers
 - Complementarity with high-skilled and non-routine cognitive workers
- Generative AI: Negative effects on high-skilled cognitive workers (e.g., Eloundou

et al., 2023; Felten et al., 2023; Hui et al., 2023)

- Workers can respond to labor demand shifts by
 - retraining & upskilling (Di Giacomo & Lerch, 2023; Golin & Rauh, 2022; Hess et al., 2023; Lergetporer et al., 2023)
 - adjusting their occupational choice (Goller et al., 2023)



How concerned are workers about robots and AI taking over their jobs?

How concerned are workers about robots and AI taking over their jobs?

➡ Research question: What are they willing to pay – in terms of lower wages – to reduce their exposure to this automation risk?



Summary

Research Question: What are individuals willing to pay – in terms of lower wages – to reduce their exposure to this automation risk?

Empirical Strategy & Data: Discrete-choice experiment as part of a large-scale survey among 5,952 Swiss residents between 25 and 60

Findings:

- On average, individuals are willing to accept a 17% lower annual gross wage to work in a job with a 10 ppt. lower automation risk
- The WTP is even higher for female, old and risk-averse individuals and those with a secondary level of education or below



Survey respondents

- 1 are asked to imagine they now had a 40-year-old child
 - ➡ Random assignment of a daughter or son



Survey respondents

- 1 are asked to imagine they now had a 40-year-old child
 - ✤ Random assignment of a daughter or son
- are presented with a choice set of two *career paths* Career paths vary in 4 *attributes*: highest education, hierarchical position, annual gross wage, and job automation risk



Survey respondents

- 1 are asked to imagine they now had a 40-year-old child
 - ➡ Random assignment of a daughter or son
- are presented with a choice set of two *career paths* Career paths vary in 4 *attributes*: highest education, hierarchical position, annual gross wage, and job automation risk
- 3 need to choose the preferred career path for their child



Example choice set:

Imagine you had a 40-year-old daughter today.

Which of the two career paths would you prefer for her, career path A or career path B?

	Career path A	Career path B	
Highest educational	University of applied	Apprenticeship certificate	
attainment	sciences degree		
Hierarchical position	Low (without	Low (without	
Hierarchical position	management position)	management position)	
Annual gross wage (CHF)	100,000	130,000	
Job automation risk	30%	45%	

Attributes & Levels



Why ask about their hypothetical 40-year-old child?

- Hypothetical: Comparability
- 2 40-year-old: Close to career peak
- 3 Their child: Parental concern



- Every respondent completes 7 varying choice sets
- Applying a mixed logit model, respondent choices are used to approximate their preferences for career path attributes

h

Results

Mixed logit estimates and willingness to pay (WTP) for career path attributes

	Coefficients	WTP
Lower automation risk (10 ppt.)	0.787***	15333.1***
	(0.0243)	(366.8)
University degree	-0.560***	-10910.1***
	(0.0417)	(912.3)
UAS degree	-0.0301	-586.6
	(0.0325)	(638.6)
Top management position	0.0670**	1305.9**
	(0.0253)	(485.2)
Annual gross wage (10,000 CHF)	0.513***	
	(0.0128)	
N	83,328	83,328

* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

Interactions



Results: Non-linearity

WTP for lower automation risk (10 ppt.)

	(1)	(2)
Overall	15333.1*** (366.8)	
Potwoon 20% and 45%		11474.4***
Delween 30% and 45%		(401.4)
Potwoon 15% and 60%		18420.9***
Delween 43% and 60%		(788.3)
N	83,328	83,328

Results: Respondent characteristics



Individual determinants of WTP for a lower automation risk

 * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

Subsamples: Child gender)

Distribution

Gschwendt (Bern)

h

UNIVERSITÄT RERN

h

Results: Interactions

WTP for lower automation risk with interactions

	(1)	(2)
Lower automation risk (10 ppt.)	15305.5***	13879.6***
	(371.7)	(659.5)
Lower automation risk $ imes$ University Degree		2439.8***
		(550.5)
Lower automation risk $ imes$ UAS Degree		71.91
		(467.1)
Lower automation risk $ imes$ Top Management Position		776.9*
		(302.6)
N	83,328	83,328

 * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

Reults: Summary

- On average, individuals are willing to accept a CHF 15'000 lower annual gross wage for a 10 ppt. lower risk of job automation
- Preference for reducing one's exposure rises with automation risk
- Males, risk-tolerant, younger and tertiary educated individuals show relatively less concern about automation threats
- Simultaneous university degree or top position increases value of job security against automation
- No differences in preferences depending on the gender of the hypothetical child

Conclusions

- Job loss due to automation technology is considered a substantial threat
 - ightarrow Typically implies diminished opportunities to secure similar positions
- Possible manifestations of individuals' identified WTP:
 - Switching to more secure occupations with lower pay
 - Investing time and money to train for a more secure occupation
 - Saving more to allow for early retirement, thus reducing the risk of future job automation
 - Preferences for policies and regulations to protect against job automation, even if economically disadvantageous



Thank you!

Contact: christian.gschwendt@unibe.ch

How Scary is Automation Risk?

Zurich, December 2024

Literature

Autor, D. H., Levy, F., & Murnane, R. J. (2003). The skill content of recent technological change: An empirical exploration. The Quarterly journal of economics, 118(4), 1279-1333.

Di Giacomo, G., & Lerch, B. (2023). Automation and Human Capital Adjustment: The Effect of Robots on College Enrollment. Journal of Human Resources.

Eloundou, T., Manning, S., Mishkin, P., & Rock, D. (2023). Gpts are gpts: An early look at the labor market impact potential of large language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.10130.

Felten, E. W., Raj, M., & Seamans, R. (2023). Occupational heterogeneity in exposure to generative ai. Available at SSRN 4414065.

Golin, M., & Rauh, C. (2022). The Impact of Fear of Automation.

Goller, D., Gschwendt C., & Wolter S., 2023. "This Time It's Different" – Generative Artificial Intelligence and Occupational Choice. *Discussion Paper* No. 16638. Institute of Labor Economics (IZA).

Hess, P., Janssen, S., & Leber, U. (2023). The effect of automation technology on workerså training participation. Economics of Education Review, 96, 102438.

Hui, X., Reshef, O., & Zhou, L. (2023). The Short-Term Effects of Generative Artificial Intelligence on Employment: Evidence from an Online Labor Market. Available at SSRN 4527336.

Katz, L. F., & Murphy, K. M. (1992). Changes in relative wages, 1963\u00e31987: supply and demand factors. The quarterly journal of economics, 107(1), 35-78.

DCE: Attribute-level universe Back



Results

Individual determinants of WTP for a lower automation risk

UNIVERSITÄT BERN

b

	Full complo	Daughter	Son
	i uli sample	subsample	subsample
Male	-686.4*	-457.7	-873.9
	(333.7)	(468.1)	(475.5)
35–49	717.8	1131.3	291.9
	(427.7)	(610.7)	(599.7)
50+	2102.0***	2621.3***	1641.3*
	(482.1)	(690.3)	(673.6)
Below secondary degree	2367.7**	1813.3	2860.3*
	(814.0)	(1114.0)	(1188.5)
Secondary degree	1953.6***	1858.4***	2011.5***
	(353.3)	(492.3)	(507.8)
Swiss citizen	1244.4**	370.9	2102.4***
	(384.3)	(560.0)	(530.9)
Parent	-433.6	-497.9	-435.9
	(358.1)	(512.9)	(501.4)
Trait: risk-seeking	-989.5**	-832.5	-1178.9*
	(339.6)	(481.4)	(480.6)
Constant	15943.8***	15783.0***	16160.1***
	(527.1)	(746.7)	(747.5)
Ν	5948	2975	2973

Results

Individual determinants of WTP for a lower automation risk



